

Ohio Journal of Public Health

Authors' Responsibilities

The OJPH requires high levels of academic and financial transparency from all contributing authors. It is expected that any and all conflicts of interest be disclosed by the authors for reporting in the published articles. Conflicts of interest include direct and indirect financial support (for example, funding the study or stocks held in supporting companies), but also personal relationships, political entanglements, and intellectual associations which have the potential to impact the impartiality of the authors and introduce bias into results. Further, all work must be original to the authors and unpublished in any other journal or website. Authors must cite all references to previous work which supports the rationale of the study or methods in AMA style. Authors must keep their study procedures within human rights guidelines and confirm that they have IRB approval in conducting a study on humans.

Authors submit their articles by emailing the documents to the main editor for review by the deadlines stated on the OJPH website. Authors must submit their articles within the required style, and word limit of Research Articles, Research Briefs, Letters/Op-Ed Pieces, Public Health Practice, Public Health Education, and Commentary. All requirements for the format of these article types are listed in the templates located on the OJPH website under the Journal Information tab.

Reviewers' Responsibilities

The OJPH also demands high levels of integrity and ethics from its' peer reviewers. Peer reviewers are highly skilled experienced experts in public health field fields, such as biostatistics, epidemiology, health behavior, health services administration and policy, and environmental health. Peer reviewers apply to the OJPH and their applications are reviewed by the Editor. Additionally, the Editor will seek out reviewers with specific expertise to review submissions. Peer reviewers are expected to remain objective and thorough in the article review and are required to keep free from any conflicts of interest, as outlined above.

Peer Review Process

After an article is submitted by email to the Editor, the paper is sent to three independent reviewers. The peer reviewers evaluate the scientific methods and relevance to public health in Ohio. Based on their objective review of the qualities of the articles, reviewers will make a recommendation to the Editor. The Editor will read all of the comments and recommendations and then make a decision about the paper (accept, revise and resubmit, or reject).

Articles that are accepted will then undergo a further review and editing process with the Editor and copyeditor. Once the articles are reviewed and edited, they will be published in the next issue of the Journal.